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#### Abstract

Critical pressure is one of the most important physical properties of the petroleum fractions, which commonly considered in compositional modeling studies and phase behavior calculations. This paper presents a comparison study among ten different correlations used to calculate the critical pressure of undefined petroleum fractions. A new correlation was developed for calculating the critical pressure of petroleum fractions as a function of the number of carbon atoms with an average error of $0.933977 \%$ and correlation coefficient of 0.999585 .
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## INTRODUCTION

Katz and Firoozabadi [1] presented a generalized set of one must be able to provide the acentric factor, along with physical properties for the petroleum fractions C6 through the critical temperature and critical pressure, for both the C45. The tabulated properties include the critical defined and undefined (heavy) fractions in the mixture. properties, average boiling point, specific gravity, and The problem of how to adequately characterize these molecular weight. The authors generated these properties by analyzing the physical properties of 26 condensates and crude oil samples. These generalized properties are given in Table A-1.
Ahmed [2, 3] correlated Katz-Firoozabadi-tabulated physical properties with the number of carbon atoms of the fraction by using a regression model. The generalized equation has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}=a_{1}+a_{2} n+a_{3} n^{2}+a_{4} n^{3}+a_{5} / n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Where:

$a_{1}=311.2361908 \quad a_{2}=-14.6869301$
$\mathrm{a}_{3}=0.3287671$
$a_{4}=-0.0027346 \quad a_{5}=1690.9001135$

## Undefined Petroleum Fractions

Nearly all naturally occurring hydrocarbon systems contain a quantity of heavy fractions that are not well defined and are not mixtures of discretely identified components. These heavy fractions are often lumped together and identified as the plus fraction, e.g., $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ fraction [2, 4].
A proper description of the physical properties of the plus fractions and other undefined petroleum fractions in hydrocarbon mixtures is essential in performing reliable phase behavior calculations and compositional modeling studies. Frequently, a distillation analysis or a chromatographic analysis is available for this undefined fraction. Other physical properties, such as molecular weight and specific gravity, may also be measured for the entire fraction or for various cuts of it [3,5].
To use any of the thermodynamic property-prediction models, e.g., equations, of state, to predict the phase and volumetric behavior of complex hydrocarbon mixtures,
undefined plus fractions in terms of their critical properties and acentric factors has been long recognized in the petroleum industry $[3,5]$.
Riazi and Daubert [6] developed a simple two-parameter equation for predicting the physical properties of pure compounds and undefined hydrocarbon mixtures. The proposed generalized empirical equation is based on the use of the molecular weight and specific gravity of the undefined petroleum fraction as the correlating parameters. Their mathematical expression has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{aM}^{\mathrm{b}} \gamma^{\mathrm{c}} \operatorname{EXP}(\mathrm{dM}+\mathrm{e} \gamma) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{a}=45203 \quad \mathrm{~b}=-0.8063 \quad \mathrm{c}=$
1.6015
$d=-0.0018078 \quad e=-0.3084$
Edmister [7] proposed a correlation for estimating the acentric factor of pure fluids and petroleum fractions. The equation, widely used in the petroleum industry, requires boiling point, critical temperature, and critical pressure. The proposed expression is given by the following relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{3\left[\log \left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}} / 14.7\right)\right]}{7\left[\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)-1\right]}-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Edmister equation can be rearranged to solve for the critical pressure as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) & =\log (14.7)+\left(\frac{7}{3}\right) *(\omega+1) \\
& \left.*\left[\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)-1\right)\right] \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Cavett [8] proposed correlations for estimating the critical pressure of hydrocarbon fractions. The correlations
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received wide acceptance in the petroleum industry due to their reliability in extrapolating conditions beyond those of the data used in developing the correlations. The proposed correlations were expressed analytically as functions of the normal boiling point in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and API gravity. Cavett proposed the following expression for estimating the critical pressure of petroleum fractions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(p_{c}\right)=b_{0} & +b_{1}\left(T_{b}\right)+b_{2}\left(T_{b}\right)^{2}+b_{3}(A P I)\left(T_{b}\right) \\
& +b_{4}\left(T_{b}\right)^{3}+b_{5}(A P I)\left(T_{b}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.+b_{6}(A P I)^{2}\left(T_{b}\right)+b_{7}(A P I)^{2}\left(T_{b}\right)^{2} 5\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln \left(p_{c}\right)=8.3634-0.0566 / \gamma-\left(0.24244+2.2898 / \gamma+0.11857 / \gamma^{2}\right) \times 10^{-3} T_{b}+(1.4685 \\
\left.+3.648 / \gamma+0.47227 / \gamma^{2}\right) \times 10^{-7} T_{b}^{2}-\left(0.42019+1.6977 / \gamma^{2}\right) \times 10^{-10} T_{b}{ }^{3}(6) \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Winn [10] developed convenient nomographs to estimate various physical properties including molecular weight and the pseudocritical pressure for petroleum fractions. Sim and Daubert [11] developed analytical relationships that closely matched the monograph graphical data. The authors used specific gravity and boiling point as the correlating parameters for calculating the critical pressure of the undefined petroleum fraction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{c}=3.48242 \times 10^{9} T_{b}^{-2.3177} \gamma^{2.4853} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Watansiri et al. [12] developed a set of correlations to estimate the critical properties and acentric factor of coal compounds and other undefined hydrocarbon components and their derivatives. The proposed correlations express the critical and physical properties of the undefined fraction as a function of the fraction normal boiling point, specific gravity, and molecular weight. These relationships have the following forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(p_{c}\right)=6.6418853+0.01617283\left(T_{c} / V_{c}\right)^{0.8} \\
& -8.712\left(M / T_{c}\right) 0.08843889\left(T_{b} / M\right)(8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Willman and Teja [13] proposed correlation for determining the critical pressure of the $n$-alkane homologous series:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{c}=(339.0416805+1184.157759 n)[0.87359 \\
+0.54285 n]^{-1.9265669}
\end{gathered}
$$

Lin and Chao [14] developed a correlation to estimate the critical pressure as a function of molecular weight:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{c}=C_{1}+C_{2} M+C_{3}(M)^{2}+C_{4}(M)^{3}+C_{5} / M \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:
$C_{1}=6.753444 \quad C_{2}=-0.010182 \quad C_{3}=$
0.0000251106
$C_{4}=-0.0000000373776 \quad C_{5}=3.50737$
Sancet [15] presented a correlation to estimate the critical pressure from the molecular weight. This correlation has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{c}=653 \exp (-0.007427 M)+82.82 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

| i | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2.8290406 |
| 1 | 0.00094120109 |
| 2 | $-0.30474749 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| 3 | $-0.20876110 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 4 | $0.15184103 \mathrm{E}-8$ |
| 5 | $0.11047899 \mathrm{E}-7$ |
| 6 | $-0.48271599 \mathrm{E}-7$ |
| 7 | $0.13949619 \mathrm{E}-9$ |

Kesler and Lee [9] proposed a correlation to estimate the critical pressure of petroleum fractions. This relationship use specific gravity boiling point as input parameters for their proposed expressions:

Proposed correlation
A new correlation was developed by use of the linear and nonlinear regression analysis and can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{c}=a_{0}+a_{1} \ln (n)+a_{2}[\ln (n)]^{2}+a_{3}[\ln (n)]^{3}+a_{4} n \\
+a_{5} n^{2}+a_{6} n^{3}+a_{7} / n(10) \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

With the coefficients $a_{0}$ through $a_{7}$ having the following values:
$a_{0}=-50662.795181 \quad a_{1}=50832.035066$
$a_{2}=-17678.300681$
$a_{3}=3393.832113 \quad a_{4}=-1991.986187 \quad a_{5}=$ $8.395313 a_{6}=-0.02554 \quad a_{7}=53728.166034$

## Statistical Error analysis

The statistical error analyses were used to check the accuracy of the critical pressure correlations developed by Ahmed, Reazi- Daubert, Lin, Cavett, Kessler-Lee, WinnSim, Watansiri, Willman, Sancet and this study.

The accuracy of correlations relative to the experimental values tabulated by Katz-Firoozabadi-tabulated is determined by various statistical means. The criteria used in this study were average percent relative error, average absolute percent relative error, minimum/maximum absolute percent relative error, standard deviation, and the correlation coefficient.

## Average Relative Error

This is an indication of the relative deviation in percent from the experimental values and is given by:

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}\right) / n
$$

$E_{i}$ is the relative deviation in percent of an estimated value from an experimental value and is defined by:

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left[\frac{\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{exp}}}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{cal}}}\right)}{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{exp}}}}\right]_{\mathrm{i}} \times 100
$$
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The lower the value of $E_{i}$ the more equally distributed are the errors between positive and negative values.

## Average Absolute Relative Error

This is defined as:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|E_{i}\right| / n
$$

and indicates the relative absolute deviation in percent from the tabulated values. A lower value implies a better correlation.

## Standard Deviation

Standard deviation $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is a measure of dispersion and is expressed as:

$$
s_{x}^{2}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}^{2}\right) /(n-1)
$$

A lower value of standard deviation means a smaller degree of scatter.

## Correlation Coefficient

The correlation coefficient, $r$, represents the degree of success in reducing the standard deviation by regression analysis. It is defined as:

$$
\mathrm{r}^{2}=1-\left[\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{cal}}}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}_{\exp }}\right)^{2} / \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{cal}}}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{avg}}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Where

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{avg}}}=\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ci}_{\mathrm{exp}}}\right) / \mathrm{n}
$$

The correlation coefficient lies between 0 and 1 . A value of 1 indicates a perfect correlation, whereas a value of 0 implies no correlation at all among the given independent variables.

## Comparison of Correlations

## Statistical Error Analysis

Average relative error, average absolute relative error, standard deviation, and correlation coefficient were computed for each correlation.

Table 1 presents the comparison of errors relative to the experimental critical pressure calculated from two correlations. The correlation for critical pressure of this study achieved the highest correlation coefficient accuracy of 0.999585 , as presented in Table 2.

Table 1 Comparison of critical pressure calculated by correlations from this study and others

| Experimental | Reazi | Lin | Cavett | Ahmed | Sayed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 483 | 486.5995 | 443.6061 | 474.3079714 | 516.1762 | 483.2575 |
| 453 | 459.5854 | 407.8327 | 454.7675179 | 465.1565 | 452.7127 |
| 419 | 430.0627 | 379.347 | 431.8667081 | 424.7442 | 417.6729 |
| 383 | 392.9715 | 347.8725 | 405.8220313 | 391.5682 | 383.6256 |
| 351 | 362.3341 | 322.5254 | 378.6496158 | 363.599 | 352.8333 |
| 325 | 334.7507 | 300.1955 | 354.2682187 | 339.5392 | 325.9901 |
| 302 | 309.017 | 278.8939 | 331.0501626 | 318.5184 | 303.0472 |
| 286 | 286.9266 | 259.908 | 312.4320969 | 299.9291 | 283.6288 |
| 270 | 266.1019 | 241.6387 | 293.8190942 | 283.3324 | 267.2419 |
| 255 | 246.1526 | 224.0397 | 275.255954 | 268.4022 | 253.3796 |
| 241 | 227.6728 | 207.9795 | 258.1837004 | 254.8900 | 241.5707 |
| 230 | 212.8811 | 194.0224 | 243.6370851 | 242.6017 | 231.3995 |
| 222 | 199.7345 | 181.7564 | 232.2516263 | 231.3827 | 222.5123 |
| 214 | 189.6965 | 171.7151 | 222.0847588 | 221.1076 | 214.6148 |
| 207 | 180.4563 | 162.033 | 211.3101072 | 211.6726 | 207.4679 |
| 200 | 168.788 | 149.5843 | 201.7427904 | 202.9909 | 200.8809 |
| 193 | 163.2755 | 142.7784 | 193.2726173 | 194.9881 | 194.7048 |
| 188 | 155.9749 | 133.8956 | 185.7979324 | 187.6001 | 188.8262 |
| 182 | 148.9473 | 125.213 | 178.6940495 | 180.7708 | 183.1615 |
| 177 | 141.5802 | 116.0197 | 171.5284832 | 174.4503 | 177.6515 |
| 173 | 135.4937 | 107.7274 | 165.7204516 | 168.5939 | 172.2577 |
| 169 | 130.3144 | 100.2945 | 160.6576099 | 163.1611 | 166.9576 |
| 165 | 124.7418 | 92.38068 | 155.4741815 | 158.1149 | 161.7424 |
| 161 | 120.4446 | 85.95315 | 151.634223 | 153.4211 | 156.6132 |
| 158 | 115.4629 | 78.45942 | 147.3897367 | 149.0478 | 151.5795 |
| 143 | 111.7754 | 72.41387 | 144.1224668 | 144.9652 | 146.6569 |
| 138 | 107.6944 | 65.99212 | 140.7006346 | 141.1452 | 141.8655 |
| 134 | 103.8193 | 59.82957 | 137.7846727 | 137.5609 | 137.2282 |
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| 130 | 99.99206 | 53.94648 | 134.9703598 | 134.1870 | 132.7701 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 127 | 97.54432 | 49.85437 | 132.9233977 | 130.9988 | 128.5172 |
| 124 | 94.02806 | 44.49788 | 130.474535 | 127.9728 | 124.4954 |
| 121 | 91.77916 | 40.8066 | 128.9424617 | 125.0862 | 120.7303 |
| 118 | 88.53959 | 36.02199 | 127.0247512 | 122.3169 | 117.2463 |
| 115 | 86.04582 | 32.36387 | 125.4438954 | 119.6434 | 114.0663 |
| 112 | 83.07149 | 28.2116 | 123.8322733 | 117.0444 | 111.2112 |
| 110 | 81.3324 | 25.75291 | 122.8347137 | 114.4997 | 108.6998 |
| 108 | 78.72571 | 22.48766 | 121.4980115 | 111.9888 | 106.5483 |
| 105 | 76.58938 | 19.79505 | 120.5610443 | 109.4920 | 104.7704 |
| 103 | 74.28081 | 17.07123 | 119.4965043 | 106.9898 | 103.377 |
| 101 | 72.53922 | 15.08983 | 118.908402 | 104.4628 | 102.3759 |

Table 1 Cont.: Comparison of critical pressure calculated by correlations from this study and others

| Kessler | Winn-Simm | Edmister | Watansiri | Willman | Sancet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 475.7941 | 490.6552 | 484.968823 | 494.0948601 | 484.1689 | 432.7416 |
| 455.7638 | 463.3941 | 448.3040413 | 463.6339024 | 442.3843 | 402.9045 |
| 427.4347 | 429.512 | 420.5805151 | 434.4403965 | 407.108 | 377.7943 |
| 396.4306 | 394.5733 | 384.0602243 | 396.2830547 | 377.015 | 348.6641 |
| 366.3598 | 362.547 | 350.0630266 | 364.8704104 | 351.0822 | 324.1968 |
| 341.0123 | 336.6751 | 326.0504211 | 336.9122923 | 328.5233 | 301.9813 |
| 318.1025 | 314.0271 | 303.4746434 | 310.1766626 | 308.7307 | 280.3381 |
| 300.6279 | 297.1088 | 284.9497729 | 286.4527956 | 291.2303 | 260.8322 |
| 283.8007 | 281.2067 | 268.887675 | 264.1577419 | 275.6485 | 242.0655 |
| 267.3945 | 266.1107 | 255.6445822 | 242.9863424 | 261.6873 | 224.2232 |
| 252.27 | 252.5976 | 241.5604987 | 222.8809163 | 249.1068 | 208.3799 |
| 239.7186 | 241.507 | 230.9518626 | 206.9204758 | 237.7118 | 195.1429 |
| 229.7009 | 232.8445 | 221.1620973 | 192.1894556 | 227.3419 | 184.0505 |
| 220.7771 | 225.2051 | 213.9721549 | 181.3967283 | 217.8645 | 175.4188 |
| 211.1687 | 217.092 | 205.7912628 | 171.65114 | 209.1686 | 167.5231 |
| 202.5909 | 209.9168 | 199.8857102 | 158.7385351 | 201.1609 | 158.0327 |
| 194.9432 | 203.5703 | 192.8457201 | 153.3087592 | 193.7624 | 153.1696 |
| 188.1387 | 197.961 | 187.8318369 | 145.5723076 | 186.9058 | 147.171 |
| 181.3904 | 192.4708 | 181.9426756 | 138.0179495 | 180.5331 | 141.684 |
| 174.2314 | 186.7235 | 177.9829364 | 130.413171 | 174.5947 | 136.2663 |
| 168.4868 | 182.1078 | 172.870038 | 123.8364352 | 169.0471 | 131.7091 |
| 163.3878 | 178.0275 | 169.4158311 | 118.4605784 | 163.8528 | 127.8738 |
| 157.8294 | 173.634 | 165.1475023 | 112.5653569 | 158.9788 | 124.0322 |
| 153.6697 | 170.3481 | 160.8962772 | 107.9064729 | 154.3961 | 121.0822 |
| 148.8598 | 166.575 | 158.4226723 | 102.7690901 | 150.0791 | 117.8197 |
| 145.1926 | 163.6818 | 143.6578274 | 98.98044296 | 146.0052 | 115.3144 |
| 141.0457 | 160.4491 | 138.5137221 | 94.69497994 | 142.1542 | 112.7653 |
| 137.3853 | 157.6011 | 134.8857603 | 90.68844035 | 138.5081 | 110.4161 |
| 133.6109 | 154.6899 | 130.4651316 | 86.63458303 | 135.0509 | 108.2512 |
| 130.8394 | 152.5374 | 127.2683332 | 84.2220399 | 131.7681 | 106.7842 |
| 127.2556 | 149.7861 | 123.3277198 | 80.55322596 | 128.6467 | 104.9043 |
| 124.9567 | 148.0047 | 121.4809425 | 78.399966 | 125.6749 | 103.6303 |
| 121.8599 | 145.6341 | 117.8412754 | 74.96735094 | 122.8422 | 101.9978 |
| 119.152 | 143.5625 | 115.2558872 | 72.50478695 | 120.139 | 100.7578 |
| 116.2044 | 141.3132 | 111.9798104 | 69.40769228 | 117.5564 | 99.35058 |
| 114.221 | 139.7958 | 110.5923539 | 67.8061103 | 115.0864 | 98.51313 |
| 111.4857 | 137.7213 | 107.5755948 | 65.1006677 | 112.7219 | 97.38983 |
| 109.3013 | 136.0562 | 105.442655 | 62.97507318 | 110.456 | 96.44778 |
| 106.6034 | 134.0058 | 102.5297079 | 60.70537044 | 108.2828 | 95.47231 |
| 104.793 | 132.6266 | 100.6609292 | 58.97903267 | 106.1965 | 94.74246 |
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Table 2 Statistical accuracy of critical pressure correlations

|  | AARE, \% | SD | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This study(sh4) | 0.933977 | 1.317814 | 0.999585 |
| Ahmed | 3.475806 | 3.838117 | 0.991964 |
| Reazi | 16.22215 | 19.16977 | 0.946263 |
| Lin | 39.34908 | 47.73011 | 0.773554 |
| Cavett | 6.191865 | 7.612793 | 0.981904 |
| Kesler | 3.169919 | 3.518671 | 0.99421 |
| Winn-Simm | 11.5529 | 15.28577 | 0.962153 |
| Edmister | 0.322695 | 0.387337 | 0.999887 |
| Watansiri | 22.71815 | 27.04131769 | 0.910100284 |
| Willman | 2.809648 | 0.032314 | 0.996947 |
| Sancet | 15.12048 | 16.41881 | 0.896163 |

## CONCLUSIONS

From this paper, one may conclude that:

1. This paper presents a comparison among nine different correlations used to calculate the critical pressure of undefined petroleum fractions.
2. New correlation was developed for calculating the critical pressure of undefined petroleum fractions.
3. Deviations from experimental values of critical pressure indicated as average percent relative errors, average absolute percent relative errors, and the standard deviations, were lower for this study than for calculated values based on Ahmed, Reazi- Daubert, Lin, Cavett, Kessler-Lee, Winn-Sim, Watansiri and Willman, Sancet correlations.
4. The developed correlation is more practical than Edmister correlation, which is a function of critical temperature and acentric factor that in turn need two correlations to be calculated.
5. The correlation coefficient of the correlations of this study are closer to one than that of other correlations.

## Nomenclature

$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ critical pressure, psia
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ critical temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ boiling point, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$
$\omega=$ acentric factor
$\mathrm{M}=$ molecular weight
$\gamma=$ specific gravity
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ critical volume, $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{n}=$ no of carbon atoms
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## Appendix 1:

Table A-1

| $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{T b}$ | $\mathbf{S G}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{T c}$ | $\mathbf{P c}$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ | $\mathbf{V c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 607 | 0.69 | 12.27 | 84 | 923 | 483 | 0.25 | 0.06395 |
| 7 | 658 | 0.727 | 11.96 | 96 | 985 | 453 | 0.28 | 0.06289 |
| 8 | 702 | 0.749 | 11.87 | 107 | 1,036 | 419 | 0.312 | 0.06264 |
| 9 | 748 | 0.768 | 11.82 | 121 | 1,085 | 383 | 0.348 | 0.06258 |
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| 10 | 791 | 0.782 | 11.83 | 134 | 1,128 | 351 | 0.385 | 0.06273 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 829 | 0.793 | 11.85 | 147 | 1,166 | 325 | 0.419 | 0.06291 |
| 12 | 867 | 0.804 | 11.86 | 161 | 1,203 | 302 | 0.454 | 0.06306 |
| 13 | 901 | 0.815 | 11.85 | 175 | 1,236 | 286 | 0.484 | 0.06311 |
| 14 | 936 | 0.826 | 11.84 | 190 | 1,270 | 270 | 0.516 | 0.06316 |
| 15 | 971 | 0.836 | 11.84 | 206 | 1,304 | 255 | 0.55 | 0.06325 |
| 16 | 1,002 | 0.843 | 11.87 | 222 | 1,332 | 241 | 0.582 | 0.06342 |
| 17 | 1,032 | 0.851 | 11.87 | 237 | 1,360 | 230 | 0.613 | 0.0635 |
| 18 | 1,055 | 0.856 | 11.89 | 251 | 1,380 | 222 | 0.638 | 0.06362 |
| 19 | 1,077 | 0.861 | 11.91 | 263 | 1,400 | 214 | 0.662 | 0.06372 |
| 20 | 1,101 | 0.866 | 11.92 | 275 | 1,421 | 207 | 0.69 | 0.06384 |
| 21 | 1,124 | 0.871 | 11.94 | 291 | 1,442 | 200 | 0.717 | 0.06394 |
| 22 | 1,146 | 0.876 | 11.95 | 300 | 1,461 | 193 | 0.743 | 0.06402 |
| 23 | 1,167 | 0.881 | 11.95 | 312 | 1,480 | 188 | 0.768 | 0.06408 |
| 24 | 1,187 | 0.885 | 11.96 | 324 | 1,497 | 182 | 0.793 | 0.06417 |
| 25 | 1,207 | 0.888 | 11.99 | 337 | 1,515 | 177 | 0.819 | 0.06431 |
| 26 | 1,226 | 0.892 | 12 | 349 | 1,531 | 173 | 0.844 | 0.06438 |
| 27 | 1,244 | 0.896 | 12 | 360 | 1,547 | 169 | 0.868 | 0.06443 |
| 28 | 1,262 | 0.899 | 12.02 | 372 | 1,562 | 165 | 0.894 | 0.06454 |
| 29 | 1,277 | 0.902 | 12.03 | 382 | 1,574 | 161 | 0.915 | 0.06459 |
| 30 | 1,294 | 0.905 | 12.04 | 394 | 1,589 | 158 | 0.941 | 0.06468 |
| 31 | 1,310 | 0.909 | 12.04 | 404 | 1,603 | 143 | 0.897 | 0.06469 |
| 32 | 1,326 | 0.912 | 12.05 | 415 | 1,616 | 138 | 0.909 | 0.06475 |
| 33 | 1,341 | 0.915 | 12.05 | 426 | 1,629 | 134 | 0.921 | 0.0648 |
| 34 | 1,355 | 0.917 | 12.07 | 437 | 1,640 | 130 | 0.932 | 0.06489 |
| 35 | 1,368 | 0.92 | 12.07 | 445 | 1,651 | 127 | 0.942 | 0.0649 |
| 36 | 1,382 | 0.922 | 12.08 | 456 | 1,662 | 124 | 0.954 | 0.06499 |
| 37 | 1,394 | 0.925 | 12.08 | 464 | 1,673 | 121 | 0.964 | 0.06499 |
| 38 | 1,407 | 0.927 | 12.09 | 475 | 1,683 | 118 | 0.975 | 0.06506 |
| 39 | 1,419 | 0.929 | 12.1 | 484 | 1,693 | 115 | 0.985 | 0.06511 |
| 40 | 1,432 | 0.931 | 12.11 | 495 | 1,703 | 112 | 0.997 | 0.06517 |
| 41 | 1,442 | 0.933 | 12.11 | 502 | 1,712 | 110 | 1.006 | 0.0652 |
| 42 | 1,453 | 0.934 | 12.13 | 512 | 1,720 | 108 | 1.016 | 0.06529 |
| 43 | 1,464 | 0.936 | 12.13 | 521 | 1,729 | 105 | 1.026 | 0.06532 |
| 44 | 1,477 | 0.938 | 12.14 | 531 | 1,739 | 103 | 1.038 | 0.06538 |
| 45 | 1,487 | 0.94 | 12.14 | 539 | 1,747 | 101 | 1.048 | 0.0654 |

